

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE                                      |                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/249,660   | 02/12/1999      | YUKIHISA NAKAJO      | 51270-245595 1181     |                  |  |
| 759  | 90 07/10/2002   |                      |                       |                  |  |
| ROGER R WISE PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO 725 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET |                 |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M |                  |  |
| SUITE 1200   | S. CA 900175443 |                      | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| EGG MIGEEES, CA 700773 113                                       |                 | ·                    | 2653                  |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 07/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



k

|  |  |  |   |  | No  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | Application No.  |   | Applicant(s)   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 09/249,660   |   | NAKAJO, YUKIHISA   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner   | -   | Art Unit   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Aristotelis M Psito  | os  | 2653   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address                    |  |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | • •  | V 10 0ET TO EVE  | NDE AMONTHU   | 0) 50011   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE !<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If the<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>- Any re  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, howe<br>y within the statutory min<br>will apply and will expire s<br>, cause the application to | ver, may a reply be tim<br>imum of thirty (30) days<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from to<br>become ABANDONED | ely filed<br>will be considered timel<br>the mailing date of this co<br>(35 U.S.C. § 133). |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A   | <u> April 2002</u> .   |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th   | is action is non-fi  | nal.  |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| -  | Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-8 and 13</u> is/are pending in the   |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are withdrawn  | from consideratio  | n.  |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>6-8,13</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| -  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers  | r election requirer  | nent.   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[] 7   | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)[   | Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep  | oted or b) objecte   | ed to by the Exan   | niner.   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | e drawing(s) be held   | d in abeyance. Se   | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) 🔲 🗆  | The proposed drawing correction filed on   | _ is: a)□ approve  | d b)⊡ disappro  | ved by the Examin  | er. |  |  |  |  |  |
| _  | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep  | •  | ion.  |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) 🔲 🛚  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer.  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | n priority under 35  | U.S.C. § 119(a)   | )-(d) or (f).  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)[  | ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been recei  | ved.  |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been recei  | ved in Application  | on No  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). |  |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)   | ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro  | visional application   | on has been rece  | eived.   |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| م اسارت<br>Attachment  | •  | io priority under 3:   | J U.J.C. 33 120   | and/OF TZT.  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice  Notice   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) 🔲   | -   | (PTO-413) Paper No(<br>atent Application (PT0  |     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |     |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/249,660

Art Unit: 2651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's communication of 4/15//02 has been considered with the following results.

1. Claims 1-3 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS of 3/6/02 has been reviewed and made of record. References crossed out have been previously identified and considered.

## **Drawings**

In order to avoid abandonment, the drawing informalities noted in Paper No.4, mailed on 12/7/00, must now be corrected. Correction can only be effected in the manner set forth in the above noted paper.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 7-8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As newly amended, the desired resultant with respect such a limitations fails to find clear antecedent support in the specification as originally filed. The examiner cannot readily find any support for such within the species elected. Applicants' cooperation in identifying such is respectfully requested.

If applicants can convince the examiner that such newly introduced claim language inherently follows from the subject matter previously claimed, then the examiner would reintroduce the rejections previously stated with respect to all the claims.

3. Claims 6 – 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/249,660

Art Unit: 2651

As disclosed on page 30-32 with respect to species elected, it is noted that the TE signal is passed while no recording is set. However, as amended, the functional result is drawn to a phenomenon of the recording mode, and not of the reproduction mode.

AS FAR AS THE CLAIMS RECITE POSITIVE LIMITAIONS and are interpreted by the examiner, the following art rejections are made.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takeda et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/249,660

Art Unit: 2651

Applicants' attention is drawn to figure 4 and its disclosure, starting at col. 4 line 7.

As depicted, there are offset values for optimum mode/signal performance. Such performance yields the newly introduced/claimed limitations.

5. Claims 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al considered with Takeda et al.

Watanabe et al is relied upon for the reasons stated above.

With respect to the newly added limitation, applicants' attention is drawn to figure 4 and the disclosure thereof in the Takeda et al document. As further identified in the abstract during recording the offset amount is relied upon to ensure the appropriate pit cancellation occurs.

6. Claims 7 & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al.

The Watanabe et al are relied upon for the reasons as stated above.

These claims require the te signal during a recording period.

The ability of providing a te signal during recording is taught by the Takeda et al reference – see column 4 starting at line 7.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Watanabe et al with the teaching from Takeda et al, motivation is to provide for on track control during changing conditions during data recording as acknowledged by Takeda et al.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6 –8 and 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to the newly added limitations in the claims, and the new claim, please also note the above 112 rejections.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2651

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristotelis M Psitos whose telephone number is (703) 308-1598. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thursday 8 - 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Aristotelis M Psit Primary Examine Art Unit 2651

AMP July 8, 2002